Chapter 2. Lakes of Argentina

Section 1. Classification and State of the Environment of the Argentinean Lakes[1]

Rolando Quirós
Facultad de Agronomía, Universidad de Buenos Aires
Av. San Martín 4453, CF 1417, Buenos Aires, Argentina

ABSTRACT

Due to the great climatic variety and the peculiar north-south orographic distribution, Argentinean lake systems include a wide diversity of aquatic environments. The deepest lakes are situated in the Patagonian Andes and Tierra del Fuego, and range from ultra-oligotrophic to oligotrophic. Patagonian Plateau lakes are shallower than Andean lakes and usually range from mesotrophic to eutrophic. All lakes in the Pampa Plain are very shallow and range from eutrophic to hypertrophic or salt lakes. Most of the lakes situated in the central-western and northwestern arid regions are reservoirs or salt lakes, and range from mesotrophic to eutrophic. More than half of the northwestern reservoirs have very low dissolved oxygen concentrations in the hypolimnion during mid-summer.

Argentina has more than 400 lakes with surface area > 5 km^2, but for some of them not even major ion data are available. Because of the wish to synthesize and to extract general characteristics and patterns, issues have been selected which adequately reflect the character of the lake environment in Argentina. We divided Argentina according to geographical regions into six major divisions: 1) Puna, 2) Chaco-Pampa Plain, 3) Peri-Pampean Sierras, 4) Andean Patagonia, 5) Patagonian Plateau, and 6) Brazilian Shield related systems. Most of the lakes situated in Patagonia are low disturbed lakes. However, the Pampa Plain lakes are usually lightly impacted by agricultural operations. Moreover, most of the reservoirs located in the Argentinean arid "corridor" are highly impacted by agriculture. Other lakes in both north and south Argentina range from salt lakes, through dark humic-stained lakes and large river floodplain lakes, to dilute high altitude glacial lakes. Argentina still has a larger proportion of its lake waters in natural conditions. However, expected developments for natural resources indicates that Argentinean goals for lake water management should include the preservation of some proportion of pre-european natural lake environment, and the evolution of a stable, managed lake environment in the more developed regions.

---

[1] Paper presented at ILEC Workshop on Sustainable Management of the Lakes of Argentina, San Martin de los Andes, Argentina, 24-25 October, 1997.

1. INTRODUCTION

Three first-order geomorphological regions can be defined for Argentina: the Andes Cordillera and associated mountains, the Great Plains, and Patagonia (Iriondo, 1989). In the Andes the principal processes of significance to lacustrine development were tectonics, glacial events, and mass movements. The Great Plains, which cover the central and northwestern provinces, are the site of extensive continental sedimentation. This region has very gentle slopes and significant neotectonic activity. A succession of humid and dry climates as well as its geomorphology result in an important development of wetland systems and very shallow lakes (Iriondo, 1984). Patagonia is a complex landscape dominated by tablelands. The tablelands consist of basaltic plateau and tectonically uplifted, coarse grain fans originated in the Andes. In the Patagonia landscape numerous closed depressions were formed by wind erosion (see Iriondo, 1989, for an overview).

Argentina has a wide array of lake types in mode of formation, size, shape, chemistry, and natural fertility. However, the diversity of surface water in a region depends on three main factors: climate, geology and relief. In South America, the dominant climatic changes are in a west-east direction due to the Andes. Therefore, in Argentina where the bi-oceanic distances are shorter, some north -south changes are masked by the Andes Mountains. Thus, north to the 38o S, Argentina presents an increasing aridity from east to west. On the contrary, south of 38o S there is a decreasing aridity from east to west. Due to the great climatic variety and the peculiar north-south orographic distribution, Argentinean lake systems include a wide diversity of aquatic environments (Quiros, 1988; Quiros et al. 1984, 1988). The above-mentioned changes and the north-south climatic variation from humid tropical to cold temperate climates allow the existence of different aquatic systems, some with strong changes within catchment areas (Drago and Quiros, 1996).

The water chemistry of Argentinean lakes has not been studied intensively although limnological research over the years has accumulated major ion data for several lakes (Drago and Quiros, 1996). In the present paper, because of the wish to synthesize and to extract general characteristics and patterns, issues have been selected which adequately reflect the character of the lake environment in Argentina.

2. METHODS

In this overview, the major ion chemistry will be used to indicate regional groups of lakes. Argentina has more than 400 lakes with surface area > 5 km^2, but for some of them not even major ion data are available. However, among the principal factors likely to influence water chemistry, catchment lithology, lake origin, climate, and proximity to the sea are known for most lakes. This allows the lakes to be grouped into major divisions according to these factors, and to extrapolate with reasonable confidence from the water chemistry of a few representative lakes to that of the group as a whole.

The origins and development of the Quaternary lakes of Argentina have been reviewed by Iriondo (1989), and an overview of the hydrochemistry of the Argentinean inland waters is presented in Drago and Quiros (1996). Argentinean lake and reservoir data base (ARLARE, Quiros et al., 1988) and Argentinean data base for the surface waters of Argentina (ASALT, Drago and Quiros, 1996) were used to classify Argentinean lakes.

We divided Argentina according to regions into six major divisions: 1) Puna, 2) Chaco-Pampa Plains, 3) Peri-Pampean Sierras and associated valleys and "bolsones", 4) Andean Patagonia, 5) Patagonian Plateau, and 6) Brazilian Shield influenced Region (Fig. 2.1.1).

Factor analysis was applied to environmental data for Argentinean lakes (ARLARE data). For the factor analysis all factors that had have eigenvalues greater than one were retained. This approach was useful because only a few factors have contained most of the variance in the ARLARE data set. Except for fish biomass when biological standing stocks were used for analyses, communalities had been usually high.

Regional Features

The Argentinean geographical regions used here are quite diverse (Drago and Quiros, 1996). Therefore, a more appropriate secondary lake division was also considered (Table 2.1.1). The mean values (Table 2.1.2) and the wide range of variation of total dissolved solids and ionic ratios for lakes within each region and among regions reflect that variability (ASALT data).

For the *Puna Region* (Fig.2.1.1), the present salt lakes are Holocene in age (Iriondo, 1989) and most of the salt comes from Tertiary evaporites. "Salares" (inland basins) contain waters ranging from medium to high salinity.

The *Chaco-Pampa Plain Region* (Fig.2.1.1) covers the central, northern and some eastern portions of Argentina. The north-south distribution of the tropical, subtropical and temperate climates and the concurrent lowering of temperatures from north to south and southwestern, the decrease of rain from east to west and to southwest, and the neotectonic patterns, indicate the ecological complexity of lakes in this region (Tables 2.1.2 and 2.1.3). Thus, their positions within this grouping are dependent on the relief, climate, geological substrate, soils and land use within each catchment area. For this region, the lakes show a large heterogeneity on edaphic influences, from the very dilute waters of the aeolian shallow lakes located in the central and northwestern portions of the Corrientes province (Ibera Swamps), through the very shallow lakes situated in the central Pampa, to the highly saline waters in the provinces of Buenos Aires, La Pampa and Cordoba (Table 2.1.2). Floodplain lakes for Argentinean large rivers have been described by Bonetto et al. (1969) for the Salado River; by Drago and Vasallo (1980) and Pignalberi and Cordiviola (1985) for the lower Middle Parana, by Pedrozo et al. (1992) and Carignan and Neiff (1992) for the upper Middle Parana, and by Bonetto et al. (1994) for the deltaic floodplain of the lower Parana.

In the *Peri-Pampean Sierras Region* (Fig. 2.1.1), we have included (Drago and Quiros, 1996) the whole Argentinean "arid corridor" except the Puna. The western borders are the Andes mountains, and the irregular eastern border is the Chaco-Pampa Plain, including high and low mountain ranges, valleys and "bolsones". In the semi-arid valleys and "bolsones", the large salt lakes and playas are widespread, but the reservoirs feeding by rivers flowing from the mountains usually have lower total dissolved solids (Tables 2.1.2 and 2.1.3).

The *Andean Patagonia Region* (Fig. 2.1.1) is from 39o to 55o S, and contains the largest and deepest glacial lakes in South America (Table 2.1.3). In the lacustrine area (9500 km^2) more than 300 large and small lakes occur widely, from them usually originate the Patagonian rivers that feed the Patagonian Plateau large reservoirs.

The *Patagonian Plateau Region* (Fig. 2.1.1) is a complex landscape mainly characterized by basaltic plateau and tectonically uplifted pebble fans (Iriondo, 1989). The allochthonous-exorheic rivers originated in the Andes can cross the region and feed large man-made lakes. Moreover, some large depressions of Patagonia contain permanent natural and man-made lakes (Table 2.1.3), whilst others have temporary waters with evaporite deposits in the central areas.

The *Brazilian Shield Influenced Region* (Fig.2.1.1) comprises just a small part of the northeastern Misiones province, but most of the floodplain lakes and the large reservoirs in the lower Rio de la Plata basin depends usually more on climate, geology and land use practices in the southern Brazilian Shield than in other sources (2.4, Table 2.1.2).

Table 2.1.1. Geographical Lake Regions of Argentina.

1.	Puna
2.	Chaco-Pampa Plains
2.1.	Chaco shallow lakes
2.2.	Hernandarias related lakes
2.3.	Ibera System and related swamps
2.4.	Parana River related lakes
2.5.	Pampa shallow lakes, river related
2.6.	Pampa shallow lakes, endorheic basins
3.	Peri-Pampasean Sierras
3.1.	valleys (exorheic)
3.2.	bolsones (endorheic)
4.	Andes Mountains
4.1.	Andean Patagonia, glacial lakes
4.2.	Andean Patagonia, volcanic influenced
5.	Patagonian Plateau
5.1.	Exorheic rivers related
5.2.	Patagonia endorheic basins
5.3.	Southeastern TF humic-stained lakes
6.	Brazilian Shield related



Figure 2.1.1. Geographical Lake Regions for Argentina. (1), Puna; (2), Pampa Plain; (3), Peri-Pampasean Sierras; (4), Andean Patagonia; (5) Patagonia Plateau.

3. RESULTS

(1) Classification of Lakes

Water Chemistry

The Argentinean studies show a wide range of dissolved salts values (Table 2.1.2) (see Drago and Quiros (1996), for a review). Argentinean lakes cannot be grouped on the basis of water chemistry in any more detail than that shown in Fig. 2.1.2 (see also Table 2.21.). Local deviations in catchment geology can cause major changes in water chemistry, and although these may be relevant to individual lake descriptions, such detail is mostly out of place in the present discussion whose main objective is to identify general features distinguishing Argentinean lakes.

The geology and hydrology of the arid regions of Argentina are complex, possibly more than for any other lake group in Argentina. This is likely to be reflected in a wide diversity of lake water chemistry. Saline and salt lakes form the largest group in northwestern and central Argentina and in the Patagonian Plateau (Fig. 2.1.2). However, some striking differences are evident between both regions. For most of the saline and salt lakes situated in the Argentinean central and northwestern arid regions (Table 2.1.2, regions 1.0, 2.6, and 3.2) old sea salt dominate the major ions giving NaCl waters (Table 2.1.2). On the other hand, Patagonian Plateu lakes situated in endorheic catchments (Table 2.1.2, region 5.2) also have NaCl dominated waters, but some small lakes situated near the Andes lie in catchments containing sedimentary rocks and in their waters carbonate and Ca are predominant (see differences between anionic ratios in Fig. 2.1.3 and Table 2.1.3).

Numerous other lakes, mostly man-made reservoirs, are scattered over the northwestern and western arid regions and the Patagonian Plateau (Table 2.1.2, regions 3.1 and 5.1, respectively). Most of them are fed by rivers originated in the Andes Cordillera and related mountains. The riverine influence is reflected in their water chemistry through higher carbonate and Ca contents when compared with their endorheic counterparts for the same region (Fig. 2.1.2).

The Pampa shallow lakes situated in exorheic river basins have also lower salt content than their endorheic counterparts (Table 2.1.2, region 2.5), and Na and carbonates dominate their waters. Their mean ionic composition is similar to the mean values for the Patagonian Plateu lakes (Fig. 2.1.2). However, these lakes lie in catchments containing sedimentary rocks and in their waters Ca is increased in concentration relative to Na and Mg compared to those ratios in sea salts.

The lakes situated in northeastern Argentina fall conveniently into several groups as shown in Fig. 2.1.2, but even among these groups the differences in water chemistry are not great (Table 2.1.2, regions 2.1, 2.3, and 2.4). However, the diluted waters of the Ibera Swamp lake system are relatively richer in carbonates (Fig. 2.1.2).

The distinctive characteristic of the Andean Patagonia landscape results from glacial action and strong fluvial erosion. The above mentioned features, together with the hard rock composition, produce dilute and very dilute waters to this region (Table 2.1.2, region 4.1). Patagonian Andean lakes form the largest group in Argentina. As expected, their waters are dilute with TDS ranging from 23 $mg.l^{-1}$ for large subalpine lakes to 230 $mg.l^{-1}$ for small lake basins draining metamorphic rocks. A dominance of Ca and carbonate waters is apparent for this region. However, a few lakes in this region have volcanic and geothermal influence (Table 2.1.2, region 4.2).

Other lakes in both north and south Argentina, while no unusual in terms of water chemistry, cover a wide range of environments, from dark humic-stained lakes (see Table 2.1.1, region 5.3), trough large river floodplain lakes, to dilute high altitude glacial lakes.

Environmental Classification

Due to the great climatic variety and the peculiar north-south orographic distribution, Argentinean lake systems include a wide diversity of aquatic environments. Therefore, a more detailed lake classification was obtained for a group of 108 Argentinean lakes and reservoirs located between 25 and 55o S throughout the central-western and northwestern arid regions, the Pampa Plain, the Patagonian Plateau, the Patagonian Andes, and Tierra del Fuego (ARLARE database, Quiros et al., 1988). Limnological characteristics of studied lakes are presented in Table 2.1.3.

The deepest lakes are situated in the Patagonian Andes and Tierra del Fuego, and ranged from ultraoligotrophic to oligotrophic. All the lakes in the Pampa Plain are very shallow and range from eutrophic to hypertrophic or salt lakes. Most of the central-western and northwestern reservoirs and Patagonian Plateau lakes range from mesotrophic to eutrophic, and more of than half of the northwestern reservoirs have very low dissolved oxygen concentrations in the hypolimnion during mid-summer (Table 2.1.3).

Water residence time (T_w) ranges from several years for Andean lakes to a few days for reservoirs situated in large rivers (Calcagno et al., 1995). Moreover, for Patagonian Plateu lakes T_w ranges from 0.9 yr to more than 5 yr for lakes and reservoirs located in exorheic river basins, respectively. T_w are usually lower than 2-3 months for Pampa lakes, and range between 0.1 and 3 yr for reservoirs located in the Peri-Pampasean region.

Factor analysis ordination of Argentinean lakes using climatic, morphometric, chemical, and nutrient variables, have discriminated four main lake groups (Fig. 2.1.3). The two first eigenvalues explained 65% of total variance (Table 2.1.4). The first factor (EF-1, Table 2.1.4) weights shallow, warm, eutrophic, nitrogen limited lakes situated at lower latitudes as opposed to southern, cold-temperate, oligotrophic, more diluted, phosphorus limited mountain lakes. The second factor (EF-2, Table 2.1.4) weights bottom oxygen limited, bottom warm lakes as opposed to cold well-oxygenated southern lakes. Therefore, for Argentinean lakes, climatic, morphometric, and edaphic characteristics are usually closely related (Table 2.1.3) (Quiros, 1991b).

The result of ordination was the arrangement of lakes in a low-dimensional space such that similar systems are close by and dissimilar lakes far apart. The northern warm-temperate and subtropical lakes and the Pampa lakes (regions 3 and 2, respectively) were clearly separated from cold-temperate southern lakes (regions 4 and 5). However, some overlapping between Andean and Patagonian Plateu lakes is apparent, as well as between Pampa lakes and reservoirs situated in the Peri-Pampasean Sierras region (Fig. 2.1.3). Two small subsets are clearly separated from the rest of lakes. The first includes four large and shallow lakes situated in the Patagonian Plateau region where cationic ratios are well below one. The second comprises five lakes located at higher altitudes in the Peri-Pampasean region and with low dissolved oxygen concentrations at mid-summer.

A second factor analysis ordination was accomplished using biological standing stocks and water transparency as lake variables. The first eigenvalue explained almost 70% of total variance and weights lakes according their biological standing stocks and water transparency (BF-1, Table 2.1.5). As expected, more transparent lakes have also lower fitoplankton, zooplankton, and fish biomass. However, for crustacean zooplankton and fish factor communalities are lower than for fitoplankton, microzooplankton and water transparency. Trophic interactions may explain those differences (Quiros, 1990). Moreover, trophic cascade effects for Argentinean lakes have been addressed before (Quiros, 1995, 1998a).

Biological standing stocks are highly related to environmental characteristics. The first environmental factor (EF-1, Table 2.1.4) explains a high percentage of the variation for the first biological factor (BF-1, Table 2.1.5) (R^2 = 0.75). A high overlapping is apparent between Pampa lakes and some small and shallow lakes and reservoirs situated in the Peri-Pampasean Sierras region, and between more extended reservoirs located in the latter region and lakes and reservoirs located in the Patagonian Plateau (Fig. 2.1.4). However, higher differences for natural productivity might be expected for shallow lakes between southern lakes of the Patagonian Plateau and the Pampa Plain and northern lakes, due to differences in growing season length.

(2) State of the Environment of the Argentinean Lakes

The diverse water quality issues confronting Argentinean lakes include major types of pollution that are unregulated or uncontrolled. Most of these lake water quality problems are concentrated pollutants from untreated point source discharges resulting from industry and urban areas, and diffuse pollutants from unregulated nonpoint source discharges resulting from various activities that take place in a watershed such as agriculture, deforestation, logging, animal production, mining activities, urban runoff, wastewater treatment and on-site septic systems. During the last few decades, growth in population, urban and industrial expansion, and increase in recreational interests, have led to greater demand for control of lake water pollution. However, a high percent of the urban population of Argentina still discharge untreated effluents to inland waters, perhaps because of the relative abundance of river and lake waters available for dilution of effluents.

For Argentina as a whole, human population density is low as well as human population per lake surface area (see Table 2.1.6). Moreover, for some regions of Argentina (e.g. Patagonia region) large animal population density is higher for sheep and cattle than for humans (Di Pace et al., 1992). Therefore, it is to be expected that the environmental state of the Argentinean lakes will be usually good. However, some lake water quality problems have often been reported for Argentina.

Most of the lakes situated in Patagonia are low disturbed lakes. However, some distinct water quality problems have been reported for lakes situated near medium-sized urban concentrations (e.g. Lacar and Nahuel Huapi lakes) and for reservoirs located in rivers used for semi-intensive crop-land irrigation or fish farming. Erosion has increased rates of sedimentation in lakes and reservoirs, and has caused some deterioration in lowland lakes.

The Pampa Plain lakes are naturally eutrophic or hypertrophic lakes. Therefore, these lakes are highly exposed to human uses of land and water resources (Quiros, 1993) and are usually lightly impacted by agricultural operations, dairy, and other food processing industries. However, some impairment in their environmental state is actually apparent (Quiros, pers. obs.). Moreover, an irrigation boom is expected for the Argentinean corn belt during the next few years.

Most of the reservoirs located in the central-western and northwestern arid regions of Argentina are highly impacted by irrigation agriculture, high slope cattle husbandry, soil erosion, mining, untreated sewage discharges, sugar cane mills, and other food industries (Gavilan, 1981; Chambouleyron et al., 1993). Organic matter loads to reservoirs are usually high and very often reservoirs have their hypolimnion devoid of dissolved oxygen at mid-summer (Quiros, 1988). For some of those reservoirs (e.g. San Roque Reservoir), heavy cyanobacterial blooms have been reported (Pizzolon et al., 1997).

Argentinean freshwaters have experienced a number of introductions of and invasions by exotic invading plant and animal species during the last two centuries. Baigun and Quiros (1985) have reviewed introductions of freshwater fish species to Argentinean. Fish management in Argentina has usually been directed towards sport fisheries. Many of the exotics are now widespread and there are relatively few lakes that do not carry stocks of some non-native species, primarily rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), or Argentinean silverside (*Odontesthes bonariensis*) native to the Pampa Plain. The latter species, a visual planktivorous fish, was spread in most of the reservoirs located in the Peri-Pampasean Sierras. A heavy "top-down" effect on algal biomass may be suspected there (Quiros, 1990, 1998b).

Most of the glacial lakes in Patagonia and Tierra del Fuego had been successfully colonized by introduced salmonids (Quiros, 1991b). Rainbow trout stocks rapidly become established in Argentina and it is likely, as a result of widespread and intensive efforts at stocking. However, salmonid introductions were unsuccessful for central-western and northwestern reservoirs (Quiros, 1987). Other exotic fish, like common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) is common to most northwestern reservoirs and in the last few years it has colonized most of the very shallow lakes in the Salado river basin (Pampa Plain).

4. DISCUSSION

Issues and Constraints for Argentinean Lake Management

In Argentina, lake management is not a widely recognized profession. Just a few programs directed to control or eliminate lake pollution have been implemented. Among them, many programs have failed to eliminate lake pollution because of:

- little or no funding provided to local governments,
- lack of adequate water legislation,
- fragmented authority and responsibility,
- lack of accountability,
- overlapping boundaries and jurisdictional disputes,
- inadequacy of technical expertise and poor problem solving skills, and
- a lack of public participation in lake water quality planning process.

Moreover, their approach has failed to recognize the interrelated processes and important linkages in the ecological systems of watersheds. Sometimes, short-term solutions to lake water quality problems have been implemented based on the political jurisdictions of provinces and municipalities, instead of being based on ecological time frames and watershed boundaries. In Argentina, many lake water quality programs have usually been started, and ended, in "in-lake" one-year studies for the variability of some lake characteristics.

Water management at the watershed level was, at present, rarely used in Argentina. At least, when it had been recommended, it never was implemented. Best management practices for agriculture has been usually considered non-necessary due to the low intensity of agriculture for most of the agricultural land in Argentina (Di Pace et al., 1992). Furthermore, a sustainable use of marginal land in arid and semiarid zones for a sustainable agriculture has been not usually exercised or even considered. However, actual agricultural intensification and diversification will produce large changes in water use and huge modifications for lake environmental state. An increase in number of conflicts of interests between abstractive users and instream users may be also expected for the next few years. Therefore, both kind of approaches, the traditional end-of-the-pipe treatment and the more holistic watershed management (see Naiman, 1992) should be implemented without delay for management of the Argentinean lakes.

For Argentina, little explicit information is available about the impact of introduced fishes on the native fauna. The avoidance of unthinking and frivolous releases of non-native fishes into lakes that do not already carry stocks of such species should be one of the Argentinean lake management goals. However, it remains important to ensure that any further moves to widen the range of the non-native species are made only after careful considerations of the likely ecological risks and the potential gains.

Each lake is unique, and a study and understanding of the unique features are essential for good lake management. Yet there are also characteristics of watershed and lake behavior that are, if not general, certainly shared by many individual lakes within each lake system. The lake manager can exploit this commonality in management studies, providing he/she is aware of where the commonality ends and the uniqueness begins. In fact, to the degree that management studies must surely depend upon the efficient use of resources, all management is probably a compromise between unique and common features.

The classification we have obtained for the Argentinean lakes is based on the premise of similar behavior among lakes. As was stressed before (Reckhow et al, 1980), this is both a strength and a weakness. Its strength lies in the fact that the classification necessarily is not lake-specific, so that models and data are transferable to other individual lakes within each lake subset, keeping analysis costs low. Its weakness is that the ease use of the results of the classification and related statistics can foster inappropriate use of the classification described herein and incomplete study of the unique features of a lake. This lower management costs but increases risks associated with poor management decisions. However, expectations not only dictate the model and theories we derive, they also determine the patterns in data we see and remark (Holling, 1992). Again the lesson is to know the limitations of the general classification (Reckhow et al, 1980) for the Argentinean lakes.

Argentina still has a larger proportion of its lake waters in natural conditions. However, expected developments for natural resources indicates that Argentinean goals for lake water management should include the preservation of some proportion of pre-european natural lake environment, and the evolution of a stable, managed lake environment in the more developed regions.

REFERENCES

Baigun, C.R.M., and R. Quiros. 1985. Introducción de peces exóticos en la República Argentina. Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Desarrollo Pesquero. Serie Informes Técnicos del Departamento de Aguas Continentales No 3, 90 p.

Bonetto, A.A., E. Cordiviola de Yuan, C. Pignalberi, and O. Oliveros. 1969. Ciclos hidrologicos del rio Parana y las poblaciones de peces contenidas en las cuencas temporarias de su valle de inundacion. Physis (Buenos Aires) 29: 213.224.

Bonetto, C., and others. 1994. Nutrient dynamics in the deltaic floodplain of the Lower Parana River. Arch. Hydrobiol. 131: 277-295.

Calcagno, A.T., and others.1995. Catálogo de Lagos y Embalses de Argentina. Ministerio de Economía y Obras Públicas. Argentina.

Carignan, R., and J.J. Neiff. 1992. Nutrient dynamics in floodplain ponds of the Parana River (Argentina). Biogeochemistry

Chambouleyron, J., and others. 1993. Pollution of irrigation water in Mendoza, Argentina (p: 45-52). In Prevention of Water Pollution by Agriculture and Related Activities. Proceedings of the FAO Expert Consultation. Santiago, Chile, 20-23 October 1992. Water Reports No 1. FAO, Rome. 357 p.

Di Pace, M., and others. 1992. Las utopias del medio ambiente: Desarrollo sustentable en la Argentina. Centro Editor de America Latina, Buenos Aires, Argentina. 207 p.

Drago, E. C. , and M. Vasallo. 1980. Campaña limnologica"Keratella I" en el rio Parana medio: caracteristicas fisicas y quimicas del rio y ambientes leniticos asociados. Ecologia (Argentina) 4: 45.54.

Drago, E., and R. Quiros. 1996. The hydrochemistry of the inland waters of Argentina; a review. International Journal of Salt Lake Research 4: 315-325.

Gavilan, J.G. 1981. Study of water quality in the San Roque Reservoir. Wat. Qual. Bull. 6: 136-142.

Holling, C.S. 1992. Cross-scale morphology, geometry, and dynamics of ecosystems. Ecological Monographs 62: 447-502.

Iriondo, M. 1984. The Quaternary of northeastern Argentina. In J. Rabassa (ed.) Quaternary of South America and Antartic Peninsula 2: 51-78.

Iriondo, M. 1989. Quaternary lakes of Argentina. Paleogeography, Paleoclimatology, Paleoecology 70: 81-88.

Naiman, R.J. 1992. Watershed management: balancing sustainability and environmental change. Springer-Verlag, New York, USA.

Pedrozo, F., M. Diaz, and C. Bonetto. 1992. Nitrogen and phosphorus in the Parana river floodplain waterbodies. Arch. Hydrobiol./Suppl. 90: 171-185.

Pignalberi de Hassan, C. and C. Cordiviola de Yuan, 1985. Temporary fish populations in the Parana River: Temporary water bodies of Santa Fe and Corrientes areas, 1970-71 (Argentine Republic). Stud. On Neotrop. Fauna Environ. 20: 15-26

Pizzolon, L., and others. 1997. Cyanobacterial blooms in Argentinian freshwaters (MS).

Quiros, R. 1988. Relationships between air temperature, depth, nutrients and chlorophyll in 103 Argentinian lakes. Verh. Internat. Verein. Limnol. 23: 647-658.

Quiros, R. 1987. Factores que afectan la distribución de salmónidos en Argentina. En Vila, I. (ed.) (p: 163-183) Segundo Taller Internacional sobre Ecología y Manejo de Peces en Lagos y Embalses. Santiago, Chile. 28 septiembre - 2 octubre 1987. COPESCAL/FAO Doc. Tec. 9, 196pp.

Quiros, R. 1990. Factors related to variance of residuals in chlorophyll total phosphorus regressions in lakes and reservoirs of Argentina. In R.D. Gulati, E.H.R.R.Lammers, M.L. Meijer, and E. van Donk (eds.) Biomanipulation -Tool for Water Management. Hydrobiología 200-201: 343-355

Quiros, R. 1991a. Empirical relationships between nutrients, phytoplankton and zooplankton, and relative fish biomass in lakes and reservoirs of Argentina. Verh. Internat. Verein. Limnol. 24: 1198-1206.

Quiros, R. 1991b. Factores que afectan la distribución de salmónidos en Argentina. Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Desarrollo Pesquero. Argentina. Informes Técnicos del Departamento de Aguas Continentales No 13, 39p.

Quiros, R. 1993. Inland fisheries under constraints by other uses of land and water resources in Argentina (p: 29-44). In Prevention of Water Pollution by Agriculture and Related Activities. Proceedings of the FAO Expert Consultation. Santiago, Chile, 20-23 October 1992. Water Reports No 1. FAO, Rome. 357 pp.

Quiros, R. 1995. The effects of fish assemblage composition on lake water quality. Lake and Reservoir Management 11: 291-298.

Quiros, R. 1998a. Trophic cascade effects in a temperate - subtropical water body continuous. Verh. Internat. Verein. Limnol. 26 (5): 0000-0000 (in press).

Quiros, R. 1998b. Fish effects on trophic relationships in the pelagic zone of lakes. Hydrobiologia 361: 101-111.

Quiros, R., and E. Drago. 1985. Relaciones entre variables físicas, morfométricas y climáticas en lagos patagónicos. Rev. Asoc. Cs. Nat. Litoral 16: 181- 199.

Quiros, R., R. Delfino, S. Cuch, and R. Merello.1984. Diccionario Geográfico de Ambientes Acuáticos Continentales de la República Argentina. Parte I: Ambientes Lénticos. Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Desarrollo Pesquero. Serie Contribuciones No 435, 475pp.

Quiros, R., and others. 1988. Evaluación del rendimiento pesquero potencial de la República Argentina I: Datos 1. Informes Técnicos del Departamento de Aguas Continentales. Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Desarrollo Pesquero. Informe Técnico No 7, 55p.

Reckhow, K.H., M.N. Beaulac, and J.T. Simpson. 1980. Modeling phosphorus loading and lake response under uncertainty: A manual and compilation of export coefficients. EPA 440/5-80-011. Washington DC, USA. 214 p.

Table 2.1.2. Water chemistry characteristics for Argentinean lakes (modified from Drago and Quiros, 1996. ASALT data).

Lake Region	N	TDS (mg.m^{-3})	EC (uS)	TA (meq.l^{-1})	Cl (meq.l^{-1})	SO4 (meq.l^{-1})	Ca (meq.l^{-1})	Mg (meq.l^{-1})	Na (meq.l^{-1})	K (meq.l^{-1})
1. Puna	3	110863		1.01	1834	50	25	4.9	1841	10
2. Chaco-Pampa Plains										
2.1. Chaco Plain	10	111	145	0.75	0.44	0.39	0.5	0.38	0.61	0.07
2.3. Ibera System and related	35	52	34	0.64	0.38	0.29	0.38	0.15	0.56	0.08
2.4. Parana River related	8	68	83	0.59	0.28	0.11	0.34	0.24	0.39	0.06
2.5. Pampa Lakes (river related)	16	943	1213	7.5	4.7	1.7	0.9	1.7	11.1	0.29
2.6. Pampa Lakes (endorheic basins)	36	31169	25126	20.3	420	102	15	51	473	1.2
3. Peri-Pampean Sierras										
3.1. Valleys (exorheic)	24	307	420	1.52	0.69	2.1	2.16	0.75	1.31	0.09
3.2. "Bolsones" (endorheic)	5	26042	5445	14	336	60	2.8	16.8	433	0.8
4. Andean Patagonia										
4.1. Glacial Lakes	55	59	64	0.62	0.07	0.12	0.42	0.23	0.17	0.01
4.2. Geothermal influence	1	479	1950	0	1.99	5.83	0.52	0.72	0.33	0.08
5. Patagonian Plateau										
5.1. Exorheic basins	13	243	265	2	0.54	0.73	1.1	0.61	1.66	0.06
5.2. Endorheic basins	32	12865	18573	8.8	189	49	4.05	30.3	214	0.19
5.3. Southeastern TF humic-stained	4	104	118	0.84	0.43	0.1	0.64	0.16	0.52	0.02

Table 2.1.3. Some environmental characteristics for Argentinean lakes (modified from Quiros et al., 1988, ARLARE data). (2), Pampa Plain lakes; (3), Peri-Pampasean Sierras reservoirs; (4), Andean Patagonia lakes; (5) Patagonia Plateau lakes.

Geographical Region	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**
Number of Lakes	27	27	35	19
Surface Area (km^2)	92.6	30.6	98.3	174.8
Mean Depth (m)	2.2	15	63.1	17
Volume (hm^3)	585.2	361.9	12122.2	5121.5
Latitude	36.2	29.9	43.6	43.2
Altitude (m)	79.4	880.7	663.5	550.2
Rainfall (mm.yr^-1)	800	524	1218	283
Air Temperature (Celsius)	15.3	16.9	6.9	9.3
Frost Free Period (days)	233	274	25	69
% Stratified Lakes	0	41	71	0
Total Dissolved Solids (mg.m^-3)	3575	686	55	725
Total Alkalinity (meq.l^-1)	10.46	2.02	0.51	5.72
Anionic Ratio (equivalent basis)	1.15	2.68	7.44	6.45
Cationic Ratio (equivalent basis)	0.25	2.83	4.28	1.67
Total Phosphorus (mg.m^-3)	572	68	7	183
Total Nitrogen (mg.m^-3)	8563	2008	702	3022
TN:TP (molar basis)	33.2	65.4	228.6	36.6
% Cyanobacteria	62.5	26.8	8.1	3.6
True Color (Hazen)	69	14	6	13
Secchi Disk Lecture (m)	0.84	1.84	10.25	2.51
Chlorophyll (mg.m^-3)	59.9	20.3	2.2	13.2
% Oxygen Saturation at Bottom	87.9	35.5	82.6	79.8

Table 2.1.4. Environmental factor analysis ordination for 108 Argentinean lakes at mid-summer. Percent of total variance explained and factor loadings (> 0.30).

Variable	EF-1	EF-2
	(51.3 %)	(14.0 %)
Surface area		-0.31
Volume	0.55	
Mean depth	0.83	
Color (true)	-0.58	
Air temperature	-0.82	0.46
Frost free period	-0.79	0.51
Latitude	0.53	-0.78
Altitude	0.37	0.54
Water temperature (at surface)	-0.75	0.51
Water temperature (at bottom)	-0.90	
Dissolved oxygen (at bottom)	0.38	-0.75
Total dissolved solids	-0.88	
Total alkalinity	-0.89	
Hardness	-0.76	
Anionic ratio	0.45	
Cationic ratio	0.60	0.37
Total phosphorus	-0.88	
Total organic nitrogen	-0.87	
Total organic carbon	-0.89	
TN:TP	0.62	

Table 2.1.5. Factor analysis ordination for 108 Argentinean lakes at mid-summer, biological standing crop variables and water transparency. Percent of total variance explained and factor loadings.

Variable	BF-1
	(69.5 %)
Chlorophyll concentration	-0.92
Macrozooplankton biomass	-0.82
Microzooplankton biomass	-0.92
Fish biomass	-0.58
Secchi disk lecture	0.88



Figure 2.1.2. Relationship between cationic ((Ca + Mg)/(Na + K)) and anionic ((CO_3 + HCO_3)/(Cl + SO_4)) ratios for ASALT data (Drago and Quiros, 1996).



Figure 2.1.3. Environmental factor analysis ordination of Argentinean lakes. Factor loadings for each axis are given in Table 2.1.4.



Figure 2.1.4. Relationship between the first axis for environmental variables ordination (EF-1) and the first axis for biological standing stocks ordination (BF-1). See Tables 2.1.4 and 2.1.5 for factor loading weights.

Table 2.1.6. Lake Surface Area ($A > 5\ km^2$) by Province (modified from Quiros et al., 1982).

Province	Number of Lakes A > 5 km^2	Lake Area Total (km^2)	Range (km^2)	SD	Geographical Region	Province Area (km^2)	Lake Density (ha / km^2)	Human Population (millions)	Lake Area per Habitant (ha/habitant)
Buenos Aires	86	1109	2.7 - 80.1	13	2	307571	0.36	15.56	0.01
Santa Fe	39	640	5.0 - 67	17	2	133007	0.48	2.80	0.02
La Pampa	14	1124	6.0 - 378	127	2 and 3	143440	0.78	0.26	0.43
Cordoba	25	2243	1.0 - 1868	371	2 and 3	168766	1.33	2.77	0.08
Entre Rios	16	894	4.9 - 780	193	2	78781	1.13	1.02	0.09
Corrientes	17	1913	4.4 - 1600	384	2	88199	2.17	0.80	0.24
Formosa	6	195	6.5 - 145	55	2	72066	0.27	0.40	0.05
Chaco	5	49	5.0 - 16	5	2	99633	0.05	0.84	0.01
Misiones	1	88			2 and 6	29801	0.30	0.79	0.01
Santiago del Estero	8	324	4.4 - 282	92	3 and 2	135254	0.24	0.67	0.05
Tucuman	4	165	1.0 - 13.5	5	3 and 2	22524	0.73	1.14	0.01
Catamarca	14	74	1.0 - 18.0	5	3 and 1	100967	0.07	0.26	0.03
La Rioja	6	64	1.8 - 42	15	3	89680	0.07	0.22	0.03
Salta	8	166	1.0 - 115	39	3 and 1	154775	0.11	0.87	0.02
Jujuy	9	622	2.8 - 390	126	3 and 1	53219	1.17	0.51	0.12
San Luis	6	39	1.0 - 15	5	3	76748	0.05	0.29	0.01
San Juan	5	83	2.0 - 32	11	3	89651	0.09	0.53	0.02
Mendoza	9	329	4.6 - 150	51	3	148827	0.22	1.41	0.02
Rio Negro	12	2577	5.4 - 101	30	5 and 4	203013	1.27	0.51	0.51
Neuquen	34	2256	4.0 - 816	208	4 and 5	94078	2.40	0.39	0.58
Chubut	40	2300	2.5 - 810	141	5 and 4	224686	1.02	0.36	0.64
Santa Cruz	50	7431	4.0 - 1837	391	5 and 4	243943	3.05	0.16	4.64
Tierra del Fuego	13	757	5.2 - 580	157	5 and 4	20427	3.71	0.07	1.08
Total Argentina	427	25442				2779056	0.92	32.62	0.08