

Fish diversity and ecology, habitats and fisheries for the
un-dammed riverine axis Paraguay-Parana-Rio de la Plata
(Southern South America)

R. Quirós,^{1,*} J. A. Bechara,² and E. K. de Resende³

QUERY SHEET

No Queries



Fish diversity and ecology, habitats and fisheries for the un-dammed riverine axis Paraguay-Parana-Rio de la Plata (Southern South America)

R. Quirós,^{1,*} J. A. Bechara,² and E. K. de Resende³

5 ¹Facultad de Agronomía, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Av. San Martín 4453, 1417 Buenos Aires, Argentina

²Instituto de Ictiología del Nordeste, Facultad de Ciencias Veterinarias, Universidad Nacional del Nordeste, Sargento Cabral 2139, 3400 Corrientes, Argentina

³EMBRAPA Pantanal, Caixa Postal 109, Corumbá, MS, Brasil

*Corresponding Author: E-mail: quiros@agro.uba.ar

10 *The riverine complex Paraguay-middle Parana-Rio de la Plata extends more than 3700 km southwards from its sources in the western hills of the Brazilian Shield to its discharge into the Rio de la Plata River. The high variety of habitats is reflected in the large diversity of its fish communities, which are dominated by characiform and siluriform fish species. The potamic axis is evolutionarily and ecologically open to fish movements and there are more than 400 fish species listed for the whole system, but only 100 species are common to both upper and lower basins. However, data limitations in some portions of the system need to be addressed before creation of an ichthyogeographic classification. The river basins that make up the*

15 *potamic axis are low to medium developed and environmental pressures are unevenly distributed. Chemical pollution is a concern throughout. In the Pantanal, small hydro-projects and sedimentation from agricultural activities have had adverse effects on fish habitat inducing a loss of fish diversity. Un-dammed but more regulated and developed lowland rivers, may be impacted by upstream dams that may create unsuitable*

20 *habitats for fish adapted to normal main channel conditions because they increase river flows during periods that were formerly low waters or change flows at random. The fisheries are lightly to moderately exploited compared to other subtropical and tropical riverine fisheries, and retain several of their original characteristics in less developed river reaches, although changes are evident. Large potamodromous fish are usually present in the catch, but the abundance of large piscivores is lower and fish size at catch*

25 *is smaller. The development of the riverine system is expected to continue throughout the basin. If it is implemented as it was executed in the past, a continual loss of fish habitats and a general decrease in ecosystem health can be predicted.*

Keywords: large rivers, development effects, fish communities, habitat loss

Introduction

30 For large river-floodplain systems, fish biodiversity conservation and sustainable fisheries exploitation are issues of great concern at the present. However, large scale, long-term environmental changes

in large river basins are subject to forces that are external to the river water and to biological issues. The main purpose of this paper is to overview some fish biodiversity and ecology characteristics for the riverine axis Paraguay-middle Parana-Rio de la Plata (PPLP) and to relate these subjects to its current

35




Figure 1. The Paraguay-Parana-Rio de la Plata riverine axis situated in the context of the La Plata river basin.

40 environmental and fisheries state. The riverine axis
 (complex regional channel system) is just part of the
 La Plata river basin ($3.2 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^2$) (Figure 1). It
 drains, from north to south, large parts of Brazil,
 Bolivia, Paraguay, and Argentina. The Paraguay
 45 River extends 2,670 km southwards from its sources
 in the western hills of the Brazilian Shield at 300 m
 of altitude to its confluence with the Parana River.
 The “Pantanal” depression, situated 270 km south
 from the Paraguay sources, receives water from the
 Paraguay River itself and from many other tribu-
 50 taries. The Pantanal has a natural regulatory effect
 on the middle and lower Paraguay River discharge.
 After the confluence with the Paraguay, the Parana
 River changes its physiographic characteristics to
 55 develop a massive floodplain and flows one thousand

kilometers southwards up to its discharge ($20,000 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$) into the Rio de la Plata.

Geomorphology determinates that the PPLP
 potamic axis is evolutionarily and ecologically open
 to fish movements. Moreover, its relatively low slope
 60 explains why the axis is presently mostly unreg-
 ulated and thereby conserving most fundamental
 habitats and microhabitats for fish conservation. A
 striking characteristic of the system is that two large
 65 depositional sites are situated at both the headwaters
 (the Pantanal) and the discharge of the system (the
 lower middle Parana floodplain, the Parana delta,
 and the Rio de la Plata). The Paraguay basin is still
 functioning according to the main riverine forcing
 70 function, the flood pulse (Junk et al., 1989), but the
 river-floodplain system below the Paraguay-Parana

confluence (middle-lower Parana and Rio de la Plata) is influenced by dam regulation of the upper Parana basin (Quirós, 1990, 2004). As for other large river systems, depositional zones are sites of higher fish food and fish productivity (Quirós and Baigún, 1985). However, for those kinds of sites the biota is usually most impacted by organic toxics and heavy metals pollution (Alho and Vieira, 1997; Colombo et al., 2000) products of development activities. When compared with other subtropical and tropical floodplain fisheries, the riverine axis is lightly to moderately exploited for fisheries in the upper and lower basins, respectively (Quiros, 2004). The Pantanal wetlands and the Parana-Paraguay confluence area are both bountiful recreational fishing resources. For the Parana-Paraguay confluence area, fishing effort has remained steady or trended lightly upward over the past 40 or more years. However, catch rate, harvest rate, and mean size of fish caught have been reported by sport anglers and commercial fishers as decreasing continuously during the last 20–30 years. Prevalent recreational species include fish of the genera *Piaractus*, *Salminus*, *Brycon*, *Paulicea*, *Pinirampus*, and two *Pseudoplatystoma* and several *Leporinus* species. In the lower basin, the large detritivorous fish *Prochilodus lineatus* is the prevailing fish species for commercial fisheries (Bonetto, 1986; Quiros and Cuch, 1989).

The PPLP riverine axis environment

Annual mean rainfall in the Plata Basin tends to decrease both from north to south and from east to

west (Figure 1). The northern part of the basin has a well-defined annual cycle with maximum precipitation during summer (December–February). The central region (northeast Argentina/southern Brazil) has a more uniform seasonal distribution, with maxima during spring and autumn. Since the major rivers in the basin generally run from north to south, this rainfall regime contributes to the attenuation of the seasonal cycle downstream (Berbery and Mechoso, 2001). However, the Pantanal's slope is 0.25 m km^{-1} in the east-west direction but only 0.01 m km^{-1} in the north-south direction. This shallow slope produces a time lag of about five months in the flood peak for the Paraguay River at its confluence with the upper Parana (Figure 2). The mean annual temperature in the basin ranges from around 15°C in the south to more than 25°C in the northwest (Figure 1). In winter, monthly-mean temperatures have a clear north-south gradient. In July, for example, the mean temperature over the northwest part of the basin is more than 20°C , while that in the southern Pampa grasslands is around 10°C cooler. In summer the gradient is more zonal; the maximum mean temperatures are over 27.5°C in the western of Argentina in January, while they are less than 22.5°C in the coastal areas of southern Brazil, Uruguay and the Pampas (Berbery and Mechoso, 2001).

The middle Parana is formed by the confluence of two very different rivers, the upper Paraná which has a low conductivity ($40\text{--}50 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$), total suspended solids ($40\text{--}180 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$) and pH ($7.0\text{--}7.2$), and the lower Paraguay which has higher conductivity ($150\text{--}300 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$), total suspended solids ($600\text{--}800 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$) and pH ($7.2\text{--}8.2$) (Depetris,




Figure 2. Round year normalized river discharges for the middle Paraguay River (Fecho dos Morros, 1966–1978), upper Parana River (Posadas, 1965–1979), and middle Parana River (Corrientes, 1969–1979).

1996). An Andean tributary to the Paraguay, the Bermejo, has an average concentration of suspended solids of 4500 mg l^{-1} and is the main source of sediment to the middle Parana River (Drago and Amsler, 1988). On the other hand, the geochemical characteristics of the upper and middle Paraguay River are more similar to those of the upper Parana (Figure 1).

Riverine fish communities are naturally regulated by a continuum of abiotic-biotic factors, which change in pressure along the river continuum, whereas environmental pressures from development activities are usually unevenly distributed. Before most of the upper Parana reservoirs were formed, the middle Parana River showed a regular annual cycle, usually reaching its peak in early autumn and its minimum flow in late winter (Figure 2). However,

the natural hydrological regime of the middle and lower Parana reaches has been lightly altered by the operation of upper basin dams (Quiros, 1990). These dams have resulted in an increase in minimum water levels in the middle reaches of the Parana and an extended period of floods. Although run-of-the-river dams do not have the possibility to control river flow at high waters, downstream control effects are important at low water states (Figure 3). The Paraguay river basin may be considered to be mostly unregulated. Sediment sources of Andean origin are still available for essential nutrient loading to the lower Paraguay and the middle Parana River.

The level of development among the countries of the La Plata Basin is not even. The Paraguay river basin is mainly agricultural, with mining being




Figure 3. Water level variation in the middle Parana River (Santa Fe City) for the undeveloped and developed periods. a) actual data; b) round year normalized data (modified from Quiros, 2004).

170 relatively important there, as well as in the tribu-
 175 taries that drain the Andean ranges (Quiros, 1990).
 180 While human inhabitation and population density
 185 has always been low to moderate in the riverine axis,
 190 economic activities including cattle ranching, crop
 195 farming, logging, agri-industry, mining, fishing, and
 tourism are common. Industry and urban settlements
 are however important for both the upper and the
 lower Parana basin (Figure 1). Urban and industrial
 wastes are poorly to un-treated for most of the river-
 ine axis. It is difficult to assess the water quality state
 for the riverine axis as a whole because water qual-
 ity data, as well as water quality reports, are scarce,
 scattered and often contradictory. However, from the
 headwaters of the Paraguay river basin situated at the
 Planalto to the riverine axis discharge at the Rio de la
 Plata, passing by the Pantanal, the lower Paraguay,
 the middle Parana, and their main tributaries, wa-
 ter and fish pollution by persistent organic contam-
 inants and heavy metals has been widely reported.
 As expected, in the upper basin, heavy metal levels
 were usually higher for top predators. Heavy met-
 als are the main source of pollution for water, sedi-
 ments and fish in rivers that drain the Andean ranges.
Prochilodus lineatus is the species where higher
 metal concentrations were detected (Colombo et al.,
 2000).

Fish fauna and biodiversity at basin and floodplain-river reach levels

200 As with large river-floodplain systems, the PPLP
 205 potamic axis has a high variety of fish habitats,
 which is reflected in the large diversity of its fish
 communities. As with others large river basins in
 South America, the fish fauna of the riverine PPLP
 axis is dominated by characiform and siluriform fish
 species. Most species belong to the orders Characi-

forms (36–46%) and Siluriforms (37–43%), with
 some components of the orders Perciforms, Gym-
 notiforms, Myliobatiforms, Clupeiforms, Atherini-
 forms, Beloniforms, Synbranchiforms, Pleuronec-
 tiforms, Cyprinodontiforms and Lepidosireniforms. 210
 The number of identified fish species throughout the
 entire riverine axis is high, ranging from more than
 260 in the Pantanal to almost 160 species at the river-
 ine axis mouth at the Rio de la Plata. As expected,
 described endemic fish species are relatively few 215
 and usually inhabit lower order tributaries or small
 relatively isolated headwater basins (López et al.,
 2002).

For the La Plata basin as a whole, there is no clear
 tendency for the number of fish species to increase 220
 from South to North among its larger component
 basins (Table 1), but with a decrease perhaps for the
 Rio de la Plata (López et al., 2002). In our anal-
 yses we have considered the fish species listed in
 published taxonomic lists and taxonomic fish name 225
 synonymies were checked using FishBase (2004) for
 all the fish lists used. For the middle Parana, Drago
 et al. (2003) listed 212 fish species whereas Britski
 et al. (1999) cited 250 species for the Pantanal and
 FishBase lists 150 species for the Paraguay River. 230
 The latter results restrict to 306 common fish species
 for the Paraguay basin. For the Parana River basin
 there were 201 species listed in FishBase (2004)
 while Agostinho et al. (1995, 1997) reported 203 235
 species for the upper Parana basin. The total number
 of fish species included in our data base for the La
 Plata basin is 591. The number of fish species cited
 for the three large river basins is 47 whereas 380
 species were cited just for only one of the compound-
 ing basins. However, the data should be interpreted 240
 with caution since new species are continually found
 and because fish taxonomy is constantly under re-
 vision; 64 fish species listed in FishBase (2004) for
 the Parana River are not cited in the other taxonomic
 lists used here. As expected for a large river basin, 245

Table 1. Fish species richness (N) of components of the PPLP riverine axis and a matrix of Jaccard similarity indices among them.

River	Source	N	2	3	4
1. Parana River	FishBase, 2004	201	20	22	27
2. Upper Parana basin	Agostinho et al., 1995, 1997	203		18	17
3. Middle Parana	Drago et al., 2003	212			25
4. Pantanal and Paraguay rivers	Britski et al., 1999 Fish Base, 2004	306			100

- fish similarity for the La Plata basin is relatively low when main compounding basins are compared for common fish species richness (Table 1). Moreover, the similarity was slightly higher when connected basins in the Paraguay-Parana axis were compared (Table 1).
- Fish community similarity among more connected large river reaches is, as expected, higher. For example, fish diversity in the high Paraná River from Yacyreta dam (Figure 1) has been described in COMIP (1994), Roa and Permingeat (1999) and Bechara et al. (J. Bechara, Universidad Nacional del Nordeste, 3400 Corrientes, Argentina, pers. comm.). For the lower Paraguay River, data from Ringuelet (1975) and Pignalberi de Hassan and Cordiviola de Yuan (1988) were employed in fish diversity comparisons. According to those authors, the number of species inhabiting both reaches would be of about 230, including those inhabiting permanent river channels and floodplain waterbodies. The number of species listed for the lower Paraguay River is 214; meanwhile 215 species are registered for the high Parana River below Yacyretá Dam. The Jaccard similarity index for the Paraguay-Parana confluence is 63%.
- Fish community similarity for nearby floodplain waterbodies and highly connected main channel sites is also high but, as expected, fish diversity is substantially lower than for the corresponding river basins (see above). For low water fish sampling in the Paraguay river system, Chernoff et al. (2004) reported a high fish similarity (Simpson's coefficient) between the coastal zone of the main river ("beaches") and diverse plain macrohabitats like backwaters (97%), floating vegetation (92%), flooded forests (88%), and lagoons (87%). Fish similarity was lower when river beaches were compared with clear backwaters (70%) and main channel (40%) macrohabitats. For the Miranda River (southern Pantanal), four near floodplain sites were compared for fish diversity using the Sorensen index (Resende, 2000; Resende and Palmeira (E.K. Resende, EMBRAPA Pantanal, Corumbá, Brasil, pers. comm.); fish similarity varied between 66 and 89% among sites during a monthly sampling for a complete hydrological year. The Shannon-Weiner weighted diversity index diminished with site depth for two permanently connected floodplain lakes. In contrast, for a synchronic floodplain lake comparison at the Negro river (southern Pantanal) floodplain just after lake isolation, the lakes that had the greatest diversity were the deepest ones and had only been isolated from the river recently (Suarez et al., 2001). For this study (# lakes = 19, # species = 51), simple matching coefficients varied between 38 and 82%, and more than 50% of sampled lakes had a fish similarity higher than 60% (Suarez et al., 2001). For the 200 km Parana reach below Yacyretá dam (Figure 1), main channel fish species similarity ranges between 60 and 64% when expressed as Jaccard index (4 sampling per year for the 1997–2003 period, # species = 115). On the other hand, when floodplain sites were compared (six sampling during two years, # species = 126) Jaccard index varied between 61 and 78% (J. Bechara, Universidad Nacional del Nordeste, 3400 Corrientes, Argentina, pers. comm.). Fish diversity in the main channel was higher for one El Niño year (1997–1998), and the lowest diversity was related to one La Niña period (2000–2001). This relationship can be explained by the increase in connectivity among different environments during high floods that allow fish dispersion, with the concomitant increase in number of species and equitability in the main channel.
- ## Fisheries and fish harvest
- For management purposes riverine fish have been classified as: a) the large and valued by humans or "whitefish" and b) the usually small and less valued or "blackfish." However, this management classification is based on ecological grounds. Most "whitefish" migrate hundreds or thousands of kilometers up and down rivers, while most "blackfish" may spend most of their lives in local waterbodies. There are 414 fish species listed for the Paraguay-Parana river axis; only 104 species are common to both river reaches. Most of the total fish species can be characterized as "blackfish." However, the most valued by sport and recreational anglers and by both commercial and subsistence fishers (less than 15 species) are large migratory "whitefish" shared between both basins.
- On the riverine PPLP axis, fisheries before extensive human development were based on large potamodromous fishes, mainly siluriforms and some characins (see Quiros (2004) for an overview). This is still the fishery state for the Paraguay basin (Catella, 2003) (Figures 4a and 4b). There was a higher proportion of large detritivores at depositional zones and at many river headwaters during seasonal fish migrations. Upper Parana regulation and basin development have led to some striking changes in fisheries in the lower basin.




Figure 4. The community structure of fish captured from commercial and recreational fisheries on the riverine axis Paraguay-Parana. a) the Pantanal (Catella et al., 1996), b) the Paraguay-Parana confluence, c) lower middle Parana River (Rosario City), and d) fish exported from the middle and lower Parana (Quiros, 2004).

The abundance of obligatory migratory fish has decreased and the size of potamodromous fish decreased appreciably. In the middle and lower depositional reaches, the proportion of the detritivorous *Prochilodus* in fish catches gradually increased

when compared with the large piscivorous fish (Figures 4c and 4d). Several fish species, mainly fruit and seedeaters, disappeared from zones where they were abundant during the predevelopment period. The exotic common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) has become abundant in the lowland depositional rivers but not in fish catches. The time-lag between the year of flooding and the time when its effects are reflected in the catch are dependent on the time taken for fish to grow to the size range captured by the fishery (Quiros, 1990; Bechara and Ruiz Díaz, 2004).

The complex interplay between geomorphology and hydrology determines many of the biological characteristics of large river-floodplain systems (Quiros and Cuch, 1989). The catch per fisher per day now ranges from 10–15 kg for upper reaches to more than 110 kg in the lower middle Parana River and more than 300 kg at the Rio de la Plata River (Quiros, 2004). However, catch rate was 121 kg per fisher per day for Pantanal fisheries before regulations for recreational fisheries (Catella, 2003). Catch rates drop to 8–10 kg of high value fish at the Parana-Paraguay confluence and for the Pantanal fishery (see below). However, the fish and the fisheries characteristics for the present developing period differ according to the intensity of development and the position of each river reach in the basin. Striking differences in the fish species structure of the catch are noticeable among river reaches (Figure 4).

Fisheries retain several of their original characteristics in less developed river reaches, although many changes are still evident. For these reaches, large potamodromous fish are usually present in the catch and are highly preferred by fishers, but the abundance of large piscivores is lower (Quiros, 1990) and fish size at catch is noticeably smaller for most river reaches (Petrere et al., 2000; Quiros and Vidal, 2000). Small numbers of relatively large piscivorous fish are still captured in river reaches where fisheries are highly regulated for recreation. At the Parana-Paraguay confluence mesh size is usually regulated. Such management measures reserve the large piscivores for sport fishers, but they usually also lead to low fish catches with a minor proportion of the smaller omnivorous and detritivorous fishes. As in the Pantanal fisheries (Petrere et al., 2002), *Prochilodus* was the predominant species in these depositional river reaches. At present, these fisheries resemble the fishery in an undeveloped river, in spite of being controlled by very restrictive fishery regulations (Catella, 2003). Still, the trophy size and large

- 405 piscivores abundance have been decreasing during
the last two decades at the Parana-Paraguay conflu-
ence and at the rest of the Paraguay River reaches.
Migratory whitefish are still the basis for fisher-
ies. Fishing pressure on the detritivorous *Prochilo-*
410 *odus* has increased heavily at the lower depositional
reaches during the last decade, as shown by a large
increase in fish catches (from 10,000 tn y^{-1} to
60,000 tn y^{-1}) and by the composition of freshwa-
ter fish exported to Brazil and other South Ameri-
415 can and African countries (Figure 4d). This type of
fishery represents a fishery state corresponding to a
developed river with floodplains still present.
- Concurrent development activities can also im-
pact negatively on fish. The Pantanal fisheries man-
420 agement in Brazil has been directed principally to
recreational and sport fisheries during the last 20–
25 years (Catella, 2003). Up to 1985, when di-
verse fishing gears were permitted for commercial
and subsistence fishers, total catch was 2800 tn y^{-1}
425 and the detritivorous *Prochilodus* was an important
component in catches. For the year 1984, recre-
ational/sport and commercial fisheries contributed
25% and 75%, respectively, to the total catch of fish.
Between 1979 and 1983, when fishers were permit-
430 ted to use gillnets and cast nets, commercial fish-
ers caught a mean of 121 kg day^{-1} . In contrast,
for the period 1994–1995, recreational/sport and
commercial fisheries contributed 72% and 28%, re-
spectively, to the total catch of fish (1,450 tn y^{-1})
435 (Catella et al., 1996). The main species harvested
were *Piaractus mesopotamicus*, *Pseudoplatystoma*
corruscans, *Pseudoplatystoma fasciatum*, “piran-
has” (*Serrasalmus* sp., *Pygocentrus nattereri*), *Lep-*
orinus spp., *Pinirampus pinirampu*, *Luciopimelodus*
440 *pati*, *Salminus brasiliensis*, *Paulicea luetkeni*, and
Prochilodus lineatus (Figure 4a). At least 89% of
the harvest is composed by potamodromous “white-
fish”, and commercial fishers caught a mean of 11.5
kg day^{-1} . The recreational fisheries sector at the
445 Pantanal has suffered an economic crisis since 2000,
and many commercially important fish species are
underexploited (Catella, 2003).
- Subsistence fishing for some areas of the Pan-
tanal has been described by Oliveira and Nogueira
450 (2000). In the Pantanal of Mato Grosso, this fishing
activity is practiced by a considerable human contin-
gent, mainly composed of indigenous people, river-
side populations and urban inhabitants (Oliveira and
Nogueira, 2000). Among them, most fish to get
455 their daily food supply; fish is a source of protein.
The main species caught by subsistence fishers are
“blackfish” species (*Hoplias malabaricus*) and the
“piranhas” (*Serrasalmus* spp., and *Pygocentrus nat-*
tereri), and they are thoroughly used as food by the
fishers and their families. The “piranhas” (38.5%)
460 and *Hoplias* (59.4%) are captured continuously with
varying frequency, depending on the season.
- ### Fisheries management issues for the riverine axis
- The fisheries of the riverine axis are based on
465 a relatively large number of species and a wide
range of fishing gears. Such multi-species, multi-
gear, fisheries are not generally amenable to the
more classical methods of stock evaluation. It is of-
470 ten not a useful approach for river fisheries (Coates
et al., 2004), except possibly for those in undevel-
oped river reaches which concentrate on a few large
species or where recreational and sport fisheries are
considered valuable (e.g.: fisheries at the Pantanal
475 and the Paraguay-Parana confluence) (Table 2). For
most of middle Parana fisheries management time-
series catch data were used and for some of them
fishing effort data are also included, though the con-
tinuity of data is not ideal. For this river reach, the
480 application of classical stock-assessment is highly
controversial.
- Fishery resources in large rivers are also af-
fected greatly by environmental factors (both nat-
485 ural and human induced). Environmental degrada-
tion and habitat loss, not excessive fishing effort, is
reported as the major cause of declining fisheries
in most rivers under stress (Coates et al., 2004).
Multi-species models (see Welcomme, 1999) pre-
490 dict better the behavior of multi-gear riverine fish-
eries under both environmental pressure and increas-
ing fishing effort. Fishing and environmental decline
modify the structure, species composition and re-
production rates of both targeted and non-targeted
fish stocks. The use of multi-species models for the
495 most impacted river reaches in the La Plata basin
has been suggested (Quiros, 2004). However, river-
ine fisheries science is not explicit on how to sep-
arate the effects of overfishing and environmental
change in complex systems where both effects are at
500 play. A more environmentally friendly management
of terrestrial ecosystems will certainly contribute to
sustaining and even improving riverine ecosystems
health and their fisheries. To monitor fishing pres-
sure, total fishing effort and catches, together with
505 time-series data for water quality, for most important
landing sites are agreed to be essential information

Table 2. The range of states of the riverine axis fisheries and the potential applicability of stock assessment based management approaches (adapted from Coates et al. (2004) and Quiros (2004)).

Management objective	Relevant fish size/habits	Maximization	State of river reach	Biodiversity concerns explicit in management	Stock assessment	Basin examples
Few and valuable large species	Large/potamodromous	Conservation (?) recreation	Undeveloped or low developed	No	Yes	Upper Paraguay and Pantanal, Paraguay-Parana confluence
Few and less valuable large species	Large and medium/potamodromous	Economic yield	Developing	No	No?	Lower Paraguay, middle Parana
Any fish larger than minimum size for first reproduction	Medium and small/many	Fish yield	Developing	Not prevailing	No?	Lower middle Parana
Limited management, fished-down fisheries, low value fish	Medium and small/many	Employment	Developing developed	Not prevailing	No?	Parana delta, Río de la Plata

(Coates et al., 2004). Such data can also contribute to assessing important links between catches and hydrology. As for other large river floodplain fisheries, the riverine axis fisheries exhibit a high degree of variation both between and within years (Quiros and Cuch, 1989; Quiros and Vidal, 2000). Long time series for data are therefore highly desirable, but often lacking due to the inability to sustain monitoring programs. This is at least partly because knowledge/information systems are often external to users and stakeholders. Aquaculture of native species is not an important activity for the riverine axis. There is still abundant large fish in the wild. The culture technology to produce *Piaractus mesopotamicus* and *Pseudoplatystoma* spp. has been developed. These species have been proposed to be produced by aquaculture techniques (Catella, 2003). The culture of *Prochilodus lineatus* has been proposed at the middle Parana.

Current status of fish habitats and threatened fish

The entire riverine PPLP axis faces vast environmental threats. This region is on the verge of major developmental changes. An expanding infrastructure, growth in population, and expansion of agriculture and industry are all part of the changing reality of the river-floodplain systems and the surrounding highlands. One increasingly serious concern is water contamination, including mining byproducts, agrochemicals, sewage and garbage. Another serious challenge for the riverine axis is the evident increase in erosion and sedimentation. Human activities accelerate these natural processes. A lot of these problems are present in the watershed and naturally affect the floodplains.

Another challenge in the Pantanal region is modification of the natural hydrology through construction of local dams and dikes. In addition, there are other significant megaprojects of potential concern to the integrity of the riverine axis. Some examples are the proposed Paraguay-Parana waterway or "Hidrovia" and the damming of the middle Parana that would affect seriously the huge floodplains at the Pantanal and at the middle Parana (Figure 1), respectively.

Regional plans to identify critical areas for fish biodiversity conservation that capture representative macro and microhabitats as well as rare and endangered species, usually captured for bait and pet fish, need to be developed for practically all the riverine

axis. For the Pantanal, 262 fish species have been recorded until now (Britski et al., 1999), but knowledge of their natural history, behavior and ecology is relatively limited. For the Pantanal ecosystems, relevant aspects of fish biology, ecology and production were related to river hydrology by Ferraz de Lima (1981). Nine trophic guilds have been identified for the Pantanal (Resende, 2000). There exists an initial classification for fish ecoregions in the lower parts of the riverine axis (López et al., 2002). Further biological data and inventory are necessary in order to improve the species characterization of fish ecoregions. For the middle Parana River, more than 200 fish species are classified according to the location of their habitats in the main channel and the floodplain lakes (Drago et al., 2003). Data limitations in some portions need to be addressed to create a fish zoogeographical classification for the connected basins in the riverine axis. Some authors consider the upper basin of the Paraguay river a unique fish zoogeographic unit (Olson et al., 1998; Higgins et al., 2005) but also a region poor in data that only are useful to provide a pragmatic way to account for representative freshwater biodiversity at scales appropriate to regional assessments (Higgins et al., 2005). In conclusion, extensive areas of the riverine axis fish biology and ecology are still poorly known. Macrohabitats can be used to represent the diversity of environmental settings within each watershed.

Un-dammed but more regulated and developed lowland rivers (Figure 1), may be impacted by upstream hydroelectric dams that may create unsuitable habitats for fish that are adapted to normal main channel conditions because they increase river flows during periods that were formerly low waters (Quiros, 2004) or change flows at random (Quiros and Vidal, 2000; Bechara et al., 2000; Bechara and Ruiz Díaz, 2004). In the middle and lower Parana Basin the river has lost several of its main characteristics, water cycles are less intense among and within years and water is on the floodplain most of the year (Quiros, 2004). Several signs of environmental stress on fish assemblages have been reported for the lower basin (Quiros, 1990). Changes in fish species composition in commercial landings in the lower basin have been reported (Quiros, 1990). There was also a noticeable decrease in the frequency and size of the top predators in landings from the lower middle Parana southwards to the Rio de La Plata (Quiros, 1990). Moreover, massive fish kills have been regularly reported for depositional regions on the riverine

560

565

570

575

580

585

590

595

600

605

- axis (Bonetto, 1986; Colombo et al., 2000; Catella, 2003) and in the high Parana River due to gas supersaturation (Bechara et al., 1996). These kills could be further exacerbated with future basin development.
- Some river reaches, mainly depositional, usually have heavy metal and persistent organic toxic concentrations in water and sediments exceeding guidelines for freshwater aquatic life (Alho and Vieira, 1997). Bioaccumulated persistent organic pollutants had been detected in potamodromous “whitefish” as well as in more floodplain related “blackfish.” At the Rio de la Plata, metal concentrations in sediments, suspended particulate matter and bivalves are comparable to those reported for other moderately to highly polluted world rivers. Persistent organic pollutants concentrate in river sediments and biota. They are highly concentrated in detritivorous fish such as *P. lineatus* exceeding guidelines for human consumption at certain coastal areas (Colombo et al., 2000).
- 630 Fish knowledge, legislation and policy**
- The fishing activity in the riverine axis exploits a fish community that is poorly known in scientific terms. Lack of fish research and fish susceptibility in the face of development activities are widespread in the lower reaches of the riverine axis. There are no reported individual fish migrations from the lower to the upper extreme of the riverine axis. Therefore, is highly probable that fish stocks for both extremes of the riverine axis are different (Catella, 2003). More research would be necessary in order to execute a responsible fisheries management for the entire international riverine axis (Catella, 2003). However, in the basin there are others species with no or very little commercial value, but that are consumed by subsistence fishermen and that provide protein for numerous poor families in the area.
- For most of the PPLP riverine axis, little explicit information is available about the impact of introduced fishes on the native fauna. However, it remains important to ensure that any further moves to deliberately widen the range of the non-native species are made only after careful considerations of the likely ecological risks and the potential gains.
- As well as for other environmental and natural resource themes, freshwater fisheries management is usually not recognized as an important issue, for most of the riverine axis. For the lower Paraguay basin and the middle Parana, just a few and sporadic fisheries programs directed mainly to control conflicts among river resource stakeholders were in place. Among them, many programs have failed to eliminate conflicts because of little or no funding provided to local governments, lack of adequate water and fisheries legislation, fragmented authority and responsibility, overlapping boundaries and jurisdictional disputes, inadequacy of technical expertise, poor problem solving skills, and a lack of adequate public participation in fisheries planning process. Moreover, the current approach fails to recognize the interrelated processes and important linkages in the ecological, social and economic systems of watersheds. Participatory research methods incorporating local knowledge to improve the performance of the limnological and fish research have been proposed (Calheiros et al., 2000).
- The international treaty among the nations that share the PPLP axis provides for joint action of the member states, but without interfering with “those projects and enterprises that they decide to carry out in their respective territories”. Moreover, it does not give attention to environmental or fisheries issues (Quiros, 2004). The water law of Brazil (1997) emphasized watershed-level management of its water resources. Priority environmental concerns are mainly due to economic activities currently being operated using unsustainable methods within a relatively weak institutional framework. In the Brazilian Pantanal, fisheries regulations and laws of fishing gradually banned the use of certain gears, and prohibited the commercialization of fish derived from subsistence fishing. However, a fraction of these catches is usually sold informally in nearby markets. At present, the only methods allowed by fisheries legislation are hook hand line and long-line, and rod fishing. For the lower basin, only isolated legislative regulations are in act in reference to fisheries management. They are difficult to apply to widely distributed fishers and fisheries. For the lower basin, fisheries management measures are highly discussed among the multiple stakeholders. Mesh size limits and closed seasons are internationally accorded for the lower Paraguay basin and legislatively imposed in the middle and lower Parana River. Nevertheless, poaching, fishing below legal size limits, and the use of banned fish gear are common practices.
- The productivity of most riverine fisheries is affected by three major factors: natural and human induced environmental variation, human

710 use through increasing external pressures with
usually negative impacts, and exploitation of fish
stocks. However, those factors are hierarchically
ordered; economical and social issues impact the
environment (fish habitat quantity and quality,
715 water quality) and usually have negative effects on
riverine fish and their fisheries. For the potamic
PPLP axis, fisheries vary widely between regions.
Relevant factors include management objectives,
the state of the resources and environment, popula-
720 tion pressures, levels of economic development and
socio-political settings. Some of these factors were
illustrated in Table 2 for the PPLP riverine axis.
Policy development for large river fisheries needs
to bear in mind this wide range of operating cir-
725 cumstances as well as the flood pulse concept (Junk
et al., 1989) that determines the basic structure and
functioning of river-floodplain systems.

Conclusions

730 In spite of changes, the Paraguay-Parana-Rio de
la Plata riverine axis and its floodplains continues to
support a wealth of distinctive natural diversity; we
have listed more than 400 fish species for the riverine
axis. Riverine fish communities are naturally regu-
735 lated by a continuum of abiotic-biotic factors, which
pressure changes along the river continuum. How-
ever, environmental pressures from development
activities are unevenly distributed over the riverine
axis. Although the riverine axis is under accel-
740 erated development and indications of river degrada-
tion are evident, there is no evidence of a reduction
in the number of fish species and fish community
diversity is still high. However, sub-lethal effects of
river pollution are apparent for most of the river-
745 ine axis. Most intense pollution effects, as at the
Rio de la Plata, tend to be very broad affecting
many different species. The response at the com-
munity level is a reduction in diversity and a shift
in species composition towards relatively smaller,
shorter-lived forms. At the population level, they
750 tend to mimic the changes expected from heavy fish-
ing and are therefore apt to reduce the amount of
fish available to the fisheries (Welcomme, 1985). It
is highly probable that depositional riverine water
bodies are receiving a significant proportion of pol-
755 luting chemicals from upstream so that effects far
distant from the source can be expected. Nutrient-
rich wastes may be enhancing fishery resources, al-
though they usually tend to favor the production of
small fish of low economic value.

Biodiversity of fish, expressed as total number
of fish species, looks, at the present, little impacted
for the complete riverine axis. However, some of the
few endemic fish species for the riverine axis have
been noted to be at risk of extinction. Some of the
major migratory fish species have been reported to
be missing as adults for the middle lower Parana
and the Rio de la Plata (Quiros, 1990). Weighted
fish biodiversity, expressed as the relative species
abundance, appears as highly reduced mainly for
those river reaches more negatively impacted by
770 human activities. Furthermore, it is highly prob-
able that both current commercial and sport fish-
eries contribute to diminishing still more an appar-
ently reduced fish biodiversity. However, fish diver-
sity is a topic usually not properly considered for
the riverine axis. That biodiversity losses are, at the
present, generally due to anthropogenic actions, is
a fact. Most of the Paraguay-Parana axis is a low
to medium threatened region. However, there ex-
780 ists a lack of accord about which actions are more
detrimental to fish biodiversity. Some authors con-
sidered overfishing as the main factor, at the same
hierarchical level as habitat loss due to dam con-
struction and operation, generally omitting habitat
quality losses because of water and sediment pollu-
785 tion. Moreover, most fisheries management recom-
mendations usually ignore conflicts between land
and water uses in floodplains and urgent social and
economical issues. To maintain fish diversity, realis-
tic recommendations to improve fish habitat protec-
790 tion and implementation of environmentally sustain-
able agricultural and industry activities should be
proposed.

The fisheries of the Paraguay-Parana-Rio de la
Plata axis are lightly to moderately exploited com-
795 pared to other subtropical and tropical floodplain
fisheries (Quiros, 2004). However, the development
of the riverine axis is expected to continue through-
out the basin; if it is implemented as was executed
in the past, a continual loss of fish habitats and a
800 general decreasing in ecosystem health can be pre-
dicted. Fisheries are, in fact, generally not the culprit
for reduction of fish diversity, but rather the victim
of environmental degradation. Controlling develop-
ment and protecting habitats, however, will often
805 involve painful social and political choices.

References

- Agostinho, A. A., Vazzoler, A. E. M. A., Thomaz, S. M.,
1995. The high Paraná river basin: limnological and ichty-
ological aspects. In: J. G. Tundisi, C. E. M. Bicudo, T.

- 810** Matsumura-Tundisi (Eds.), *Limnology in Brazil*, pp. 59–104. Academia Brasileira de Ciências, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brasil.
- Agostinho, A. A., Júlio, H. F., Jr., Gomes, L. C., Bini, L. M., Agostinho, C. S., 1997. Composição, abundância e distribuição espaço-temporal da ictiofauna (Composition, abundance and spatio-temporal distribution of the fish fauna. In Portuguese). In: A.E.A.M. Vazoler, A.A. Agostinho, N.S. Hahn (Eds.), *A planície de inundação do alto rio Paraná: aspectos físicos, biológicos e socioeconômicos*, pp. 179–208. EDUEM/UEM, Nupelia, Maringá, Brasil.
- 815** Alho, C. J. R., Vieira, L. M., 1997. Fish and wildlife resources in the Pantanal wetlands of Brazil and potential disturbances from the release of environmental contaminants. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 16, 71–74.
- 820** Bechara, J. A., Roux, J. P., Sánchez, S., Terraes, J. C., Domitrovic, H. A., 2000. Fish community variation below Yacyretá Dam (Paraná River, Argentina): the relative contribution of microhabitat, hydrology and limnology. *Acta Limnologica Brasiliensia* 12, 23–38.
- 825** Bechara, J. A., Ruiz Díaz, F. J., 2004. Effects of mean annual discharge and dam regulation on fish biomass in the High Paraná River (Argentina), p 95–101. In: D. García de Jalón, P.Vizcaíno Martínez (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Fifth International Symposium on Ecohydraulics. Aquatic habitat analysis and restoration. 2004 Sep 12–17. International Association of Hydraulic Research, Madrid, Spain.*
- 830** Bechara, J. A., Domitrovic, H., Flores Quintana, C., Roux, J. P., Jacobo, W. R., Gavilán, G., 1996. The effect of gas supersaturation on fish health below Yacyretá Dam (Paraná River, Argentina). pp. 3–12. In: M. Leclerc, H. Capra, S. Valentin, A. Boudreault, Y. Côté (Eds.) *Proceedings of the Second IAHR International Symposium on Habitat Hydraulics, Volume A. 1996 Jun 13–16. International Association of Hydraulic Research, Quebec, Canada.*
- 835** Berbery, E. H., Mechoso, C. R., 2001. Climatology and Hydrology of the Plata Basin. WMO/WCRP CLIVAR panel on the Variability of American Monsoon System, Plata Basin Scientific Study Group. <http://www.atmos.umd.edu/~berbery/lpb/>
- 840** Bonetto, A. A., 1986. The Parana River system. In: B. R. Davies, K. F. Walker (Eds.), *The ecology of river systems*. pp. 541–556. Dr. Junk Publications, Rotterdam, The Netherlands.
- 845** Britski, H. A., De Slimon, K. Z. de S., Lopes, B. S., 1999. Peixes do Pantanal. Manual de Identificação. (Fishes from the Pantanal. An identification manual. In Portuguese) 2nd. Ed. EMBRAPA-SPI, EMBRAPA-CRAP, Corumbá MS, Brasil.
- 850** Calheiros, D. F., Seidl, A. F., Ferreira, C. J. A., 2000. Participatory research methods in environmental science: local and scientific knowledge of a limnological phenomenon in the Pantanal wetland of Brazil. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 37, 684–696.
- 855** Catella, A. C., 2003. A pesca no Pantanal sul: situação atual e perspectivas (Fishing in the Southern Pantanal: present situation and perspectives. In Portuguese). Serie Documentos Embrapa Pantanal, Documentos 48, EMBRAPA Pantanal, Corumbá MS, Brasil.
- 860** Catella, A. C., Peixer, J., Palmeira, S. da S. 1996. Sistema de controle da pesca de Mato Grosso do Sul. SCPESCA/MS-1 maio/1994 a abril/1995 (Fishing control system in Mato Grosso do Sul. In Portuguese) Serie Documentos EMBRAPA Pantanal, Documentos 16, EMBRAPA Pantanal, Corumbá MS, Brasil.
- 865** Coates, D., Boivin, T., Darwall, W. R. T., Friend, R., Hirsch, P., Poulsen, A. F., Quirós, R., Visser, T. A. M., Wallace, M., 2004. Information, Knowledge and Policy. p. 93–120. In: R.L. Welcomme, T. Petr (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Second International Symposium on the Management of Large Rivers for Fisheries Volume I. RAP Publication 2004/16. FAO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific, Bangkok, Thailand.*
- 870** Colombo, J. C., Bilos, C., Remes Lenicov, M., Colauti, D., Landoni, P., Brochu, C., 2000. Detritivorous fish contamination in the Rio de la Plata estuary: a critical accumulation pathway in the cycle of anthropogenic compounds. *Can. J. Fish. Aquatic Sci.* 57, 1139–1150.
- 875** COMIP (Comision Mixta Argentino-Paraguaya del Río Parana), 1994. La fauna ictica del rio Parana: tramo Argentino-Paraguayo (Fish fauna from the Paraná River: Argentina-Paraguay reach. In Spanish). Comisión Mixta Argentino-Paraguaya del Río Parana (Ed.). Buenos Aires, Argentina.
- 880** Chernoff, B., Willink, P. W., Machado-Allison, A., 2004. Spatial partitioning of fishes in the Río Paraguay, Paraguay. *Interciencia* 29, 183–192.
- 885** Depetris, P. J., 1996. Riverine Transfer of Particulate Matter to Ocean Systems. In: V. Ittekkot, P. Schäfer, S. Honjo, P.J. Depetris (Eds.), *Particle Flux in the Ocean*, pp. 53–69. SCOPE, John Wiley & Sons Ltd, USA.
- 890** Drago, E. C., Amsler, M. L., 1988. Suspended Sediment at a Cross Section of the Middle Parana River: Concentration, Granulometry and Influence of the Main Tributaries. In: *Sediment Budgets*, pp. 381–396. IAHS Publication No. 174. International Association of Hydrological Sciences, Washington, DC.
- 895** Drago, E. C., Drago, I. E. de, Oliveros, O. B., Paiva, A. R., 2003. Aquatic habitats, fish and invertebrate assemblages of the Middle Paraná River. *Amazoniana* XVII, 291–341.
- 900** Ferraz de Lima, J. A., 1981. A pesca no Pantanal de Mato Grosso (rio Cuiabá: aspectos da produção pesqueira) (Fishing in the Mato Grosso Pantanal (Cuiabá River: aspects of fishing production. In Portuguese). In: *Anais do II Congresso Brasileiro de Engenharia de Pesca*. pp. 517–529. Recife, PE, Brasil.
- 905** FishBase, 2004. R. Froese, D. Pauly (Eds.), World Wide Web electronic publication, version (10/2004), www.fishbase.org.
- 910** Higgins, J. V., Bryer, M. T., Khoury, M. L., Fitzhugh, T. W., 2005. A freshwater classification approach for biodiversity conservation planning. *Conservation Biology* 19, 432–445.
- 915** Junk, W., Bayley, P., Sparks, R., 1989. The flood pulse concept in river-floodplain systems basin. In: D.P. Dodge (Ed.), *Proceedings of the international large rivers symposium*. Can Spec. Publ. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 10, 110–127.
- 920** López, H. L., Morgan, C. C., Montenegro, M. J. 2002. Ichthyological Ecoregions of Argentina. Serie ProBiota, World Wide Web electronic publication, Museo de La Plata. La Plata, Argentina. <http://www.yenys.com.ar/pdfs/Ichthyological-ecoregions-Arg-2002.pdf>
- 925** Oliveira, R. D. de, Nogueira, F. M. de B., 2000. Characterization of the fishes and of subsistence fishing in the Pantanal of Mato Grosso, Brazil. *Rev. Brasil. Biol.* 60, 435–445.
- Olson, D., Dinerstein, E., Canevari, P., Davidson, I., Castro, G., Morisset, V., Abell, R., Toledo, E., (Eds.), 1998. *Freshwater*

- biodiversity of Latin America and the Caribbean: a conservation assessment. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 77, 188–125.
- 930** Petrere, M., Jr., Agostinho, A. A., Okada E. K., Julio H. F., Jr., 2002. Review of the fisheries in the Parana/Pantanal Basin. In: I.G. Cowx (Ed.), *Management and ecology of lake and reservoir fisheries*. pp. 123–143. Blackwell Science, New Fishing Books, Blackwell, Oxford, UK.
- 935** Pignalberi de Hassan, C., Cordiviola de Yuan, E., 1988. Fish populations in the Paraguay River waters of the Formosa area, Argentina. *Studies on Neotropical Fauna and Environment* 23, 165–175.
- 940** Quiros, R., 1990. The Parana River Basin development and the changes in the lower basin fisheries. *Interciencia* 15, 442–451.
- Quiros, R., 2004. The La Plata river basin: International basin development and riverine fisheries. In: R.L. Welcomme, T. Petrere (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Second International Symposium on the Management of Large Rivers for Fisheries Volume I*. pp. 253–272. RAP Publication 2004/16, FAO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific, Bangkok, Thailand.
- 945** Quiros, R., Baigun, C., 1985. Fish abundance related to organic matter in the La Plata River Basin, South America. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 114, 377–387.
- 950** Quiros, R., Cuch, S., 1989. The fisheries and limnology of the lower La Plata Basin. In: D.P. Dodge (Ed.), *Proceedings of the international large rivers symposium*, pp. 429–443. *Can. Spec. Publ. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 106.
- Quiros, R., Vidal, J. C., 2000. The cyclic behavior of potamodromous fishes in large rivers. In: I.G. Cowx (Ed.), *Management and Ecology of River Fisheries*, pp. 71–86. Blackwell Science, New Fishing Books, Blackwell, Oxford, UK.
- 955** Resende, E. K. de., 2000. Trophic structure of fish assemblages in the Lower Miranda river, Pantanal, Mato Grosso do Sul State, Brazil. *Revista Brasileira de Biologia* 60, 389–403.
- 960** Ringuelet, R. A. (Ed.), 1975. *Zoogeografía y ecología de los peces de aguas continentales de la Argentina y consideraciones sobre las áreas ictiológicas de América del Sur*. Ecosur 2.
- 965** Roa, B. H., Permingeat, E. D., 1999. Composición y abundancia de la fauna íctica en dos estaciones de muestreo del embalse de Yaciretá, Argentina. *Revista de Ictiología* 7, 49–57.
- Suarez, Y. R., Petrere, M., Jr., Catella, A. C., 2001. Factors determining the structure of fish communities in Pantanal lagoons (MS, Brazil). *Fisheries Management and Ecology* 8, 173–186.
- 970** Welcomme, R.L., 1985. *River Fisheries*. FAO Fish. Tech. Pap. 262. FAO, Rome, Italy.
- Welcomme, R. L., 1999. A review of a model for qualitative evaluation of exploitation levels in multispecies fisheries. *Journal of Fisheries Ecology and Management* 6, 1–20.
- 975**